

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,139	07/09/2003	Gary R. Epler	eple0703	2091
28390 7590 06042908 MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC 41 BROOK STREET			EXAMINER	
			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
MANCHESTI	ER, NH 03104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/616,139 EPLER, GARY R. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA 3736 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment(s) filed on 02/21/2008 and 03/06/2008, amended claim(s) 1 is/are acknowledged. The current rejections of the claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are withdrawn. The following new and reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

Election/Restrictions

- The Examiner notes claim 1 appears to be generic with regards to the Election of Species mailed 03/13/2007. In the event claim 1 is found allowable, withdrawn claims 4 and 5-19 would be rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.
- 3. However, presently the withdrawn claims remain withdrawn without traverse pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected invention(s) as they appear to claim the subject matter of at least nonelected Figures 2, 6A, 6B, and 8-11.
- 4. This application contains claims 20-53 drawn to a nonelected invention n the reply filed on 04/09/2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Objections

 Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the positive recitation of "the group" in line 2 should apparently read "a group". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number:

10/616,139 Art Unit: 3736

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millenson (EP 0 717 283 A2) in view of Wright (US 2004/0002872 A1).
- 8. For claims 1 and 5, Millenson discloses a diagnostic and directed medication system (100) that is capable of minimizing a potential adverse drug reaction to a prescribed medical therapy, said system comprising:
- a drug metabolism test component (10) comprising a medical sample receiving
 apparatus (40) having at least a first sample holding pad (50) configured to receive a
 user's biological sample (column 4 lines 21-54), said first sample holding pad being
 capable of preserving the user's biological sample for later identification of the
 presence of one or more predefined drug metabolism markers that are capable of
 indicating the potential adverse drug reaction to the prescribed medical therapy; and
- a prescription instruction component (120) containing a first instruction that is
 capable of directing a user to obtain said drug metabolism test component
 instruction and to follow the test component instructions to submit the sample for
 testing (column 5 lines 15-42), and a second instruction that is capable of directing
 said user on how to obtain a customized medical therapy containing a prescription
 for a medication that minimizes the potential for the adverse drug reaction, said
 customized medical therapy being based on a result of said testing (column 5 lines
 15-42), said second instruction further is capable of further directing said user to
 present said result of said testing to a healthcare provider to obtain said customized

Page 4

Application/Control Number:

10/616,139 Art Unit: 3736

medical therapy containing a prescription for a medication that minimizes the potential for an adverse drug reaction (column 5 lines 15-42).

- 9. Thus for claims 1 and 5, Millenson discloses the claimed diagnostic and directed medication system, as set forth above, except for expressly disclosing the drug metabolism test component and written prescription instruction are configured to predict adverse drug reactions to a prescribed medical therapy. Wright teaches a directed medication system, comprising inter alia: a drug metabolism test component (402C) and a written prescription instruction (402B) configured to predict adverse drug reactions to a prescribed medical therapy (paragraphs 5, 17, 45, and 52-59). All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions. and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. All of the component parts are known in Millenson and Wright. The only difference is the combination of the component parts into a single device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the components as taught by Millenson with the components as taught by Wright to achieve the predictable results of providing alternate diagnostic testing means in a diagnostic and directed medication system.
- 10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millenson in view of Wright and in further view of Zwanziger et al. (WO 95/33996, hereinafter Zwanziger). Millenson in view of Wright discloses the claimed diagnostic and directed medication system, as set forth above, except for expressly disclosing the

Page 5

Application/Control Number:

10/616,139 Art Unit: 3736

one or more predefined drug metabolism markers being DNA or enzymes or the drug metabolism test component being a genomics-based test. Zwanziger teaches a diagnostic and directed medication system, wherein the one or more predefined drug metabolism markers are DNA or enzymes (page 7 line 3 - page 9 line 20) and the drug metabolism test component is a genomics-based test (page 7 line 3 – page 9 line 20). All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. All of the component parts are known in Millenson in view of Wright and Zwanziger. The only difference is the combination of the component parts into a single device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the components as taught by Millenson in view of Wright with the components as taught by Zwanziger to achieve the predictable results of providing alternate diagnostic testing means in a diagnostic and directed medication system.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP Art Unit: 3736

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/616,139 Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

/J.H./ Jeff Hoekstra Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736